

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,230	06/14/2001	Richard T. Shoemaker	RD8030 US NA	7555
23906 75	590 03/26/2003			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			SALVATORE, LYNDA	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1771	. <u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS-7			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/881,230	SHOEMAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lynda M Salvatore	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for R ply						
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep; - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rHS from the mailing date of this communication. lly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ly is specified above, the maximum statutory period whin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u>	<u>ebruary 2002</u> .				
2a)☐ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla						
*	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) Application Paper	are subject to restriction and/ors	r election requirement.				
9)∐ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examine	t.				
10)⊠ The drawi	ng(s) filed on <u>9/17/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)∏ All b)[☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.☐ Ce	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2 ☐ Ce	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowled	Igment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	translation of the foreign language pro dgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)						
	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12 drawn to yarn, filament and fabric thereof classified in class 428, subclass 92.
 - II. Claims 13-19, drawn to double-sided fabric, classified in class, 442 subclass, 205+.
 - III. Claim 20, drawn to a co-polymer solution, classified in class 252, subclasses 8.61.
 - IV. Claim 21, drawn to a method for increasing the wicking ability, classified in class,8, subclass 115.51+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product of Group I is deemed to be useful in a single layer or a non-woven fabric and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,230

Art Unit: 1771

Inventions of Group IV and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the method of Group IV doesn't produce the product of Group I or Group II.

Inventions of Group I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the co-polymer solution of Group III is not part of the claimed yarns of Group I or the fabric of Group II.

Inventions of Group III and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the composition of Group III can be employed on fabric articles other than those made of the claimed fibers.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Jane Hamby on March 12, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of a yarn formed at least in part from a filament claims 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 09/881,230

Art Unit: 1771

Office action. Claims 13-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mills et al., US 5,447,771.

The patent issued to Mills et al., teaches a filament having a substantially flat-sided rectangular-shaped central segment, arms and lobes, which further includes curved tip portions extending form each end of the central segment in opposite directions. The arms are connected to the central segment such that the angle formed between each of the arms and central segment is

Application/Control Number: 09/881,230

Art Unit: 1771

from 105 to 165 degrees. Mills et al., teaches that this orientation gives rise to the distinctive bilobal S or Z shapes (Column 4, 48-60). The denier per filament ranges from 3 to 30 (Column 5, 29-30). The filaments may be blended with each other or with other filaments having varied cross-sections. Preferably, the yarn comprises a blend of 40 to 60% by weight of S shaped filaments and 60 to 40% by weight of Z shaped filaments (Column 5, 18-25). Mills et al., further teaches a carpet fabric comprising a mixture of 20 to 80% multifilament yarns having a trilobal cross section and 80 to 20% multifilament yarns comprising a blend of Z and S shaped filaments (Column 5, 35-40). Suitable filament forming materials include thermoplastic polymers such as nylon 6, 6 (Column 3, 15-20). The filaments are also suitable in applications other than carpet manufacturing such as in textile or non-woven fields (Column 5, 15-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills et al., US 5,447,771.

With regard to claim 4, Mills et al., fails to teach a yarn having a denier range of 15 to 200, however, it would it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to decrease the yarn denier to increase the fineness of the fabric and soft hand (Column 5, 30-35 and 43-45). Additionally, a decrease in filament denier (i.e., about 3 denier) would also inherently give rise to a decrease in yarn size when employing the same

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/881,230

Art Unit: 1771

number of filaments. It has been held that discovering a an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 17 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 703-305-4070.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ls March 24, 2003

CHERYL A. JUSKA